(3)

C.S. 160/

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT: BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3572 OF 2004

PETITIONER: Badri Prasad Gadhewal, aged 57 years, son of late Shri Moti Lal Gadhewal, resident of Jatiyapara Jarhabhata, Bilaspur, tehsil & district Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS: (1) Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Bilaspur revenue sub division, Bilaspur (C.G.)

(2) Tehsildar, Bilaspur tehsil, Bilaspur (C.G.)

(3) Additional Collector, Bilaspur district, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 355-74/04
Presented by Shri I.C. Tamrakar
dated 23-9-04

RECEIVED COPY
23-9-04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT/WRITS, ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/ DIRECTIONS.

आयुक्त,

(15)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3572/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

07/01/2005

Shri Somnath Verma, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel lawyer for the State.

Heard counsel for the petitioner on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.1, Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) is deliberately delaying in disposing of some revenue matter which has been returned back to him by the Revisional Court. ~~Apart~~ From perusal of the records, it appears that the petitioner has not approached the higher authorities or complained against the misdeeds of the officers before approaching this Court and is directly approaching this Court for a direction to the respondents to dispose of Revenue Appeal No. 72-A/2001.

We do not see any merit in this case for admission. The petitioner is at liberty to approach the higher authorities against delaying of his appeal.

Under these circumstances, the petition is dismissed at this stage.

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

Sugma

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

14/1/05